

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/761,982	01/21/2004	Michel Tabart	ST01021US CNT	4039	
5487 7.	590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER		
ROSS J. OEHLER			STOCKTON, LA	STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE	
AVENTIS PHA ROUTE 202-20	ARMACEUTICALS INC. 06		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL CODE: D303A BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807		/	1626		
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		TABART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/761,982	Art Unit				
Office Action Community	Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	pears on the cover sheet with the					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been recein au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion Noved in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachmont/s\						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail					
- apor 110(0)						

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-35 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-3, 19, 21, 23-26 and 35, drawn to products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein one of X and Y of Y is N and the other is CH; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a phenyl, classified in class 548, subclass 452+.

Group II. Claims 1, 19, 21, 23, 25 and 35, drawn to products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein one of X and Y of Y is N and the other is CH; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a 5-membered aromatic heterocycle, classified in class 548, subclass 120+.

Group III. Claims 1, 19, 21, 23, 25 and 35, drawn to products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein one of X and Y of Y is N and

Art Unit: 1626

the other is CH; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a 6-membered aromatic heterocycle, classified in classes 544 and 546.

Group IV. Claims 1-22 and 35, drawn to products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein X and Y are each N; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a phenyl, classified in class 548, subclass 356.1+.

Group V. Claims 1, 19, 21 and 35, drawn to products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein X and Y are each N; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a 5-membered aromatic heterocycle, classified in class 548, subclass 120+.

Group VI. Claims 1, 19, 21 and 35, drawn to products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein X and Y are each N; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a 6-membered aromatic heterocycle, classified in classes 544 and 546.

Group VII. Claims 27-34, drawn to a method for the treatment of tumors or cancerous cells by administering products of formula (1)

Art Unit: 1626

or formula (2) wherein one of X and Y of Y is N and the other is CH; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a phenyl, classified in class 514, subclass 412+.

Group VIII. Claims 27-34, drawn to a method for the treatment of tumors or cancerous cells by administering products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein one of X and Y of Y is N and the other is CH; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a 5-membered aromatic heterocycle, classified in class 514, subclass 359+.

Group IX. Claims 27-34, drawn to a method for the treatment of tumors or cancerous cells by administering products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein one of X and Y of Y is N and the other is CH; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a 6-membered aromatic heterocycle, classified in class 514, subclass 222.2+.

Group X. Claims 27-34, drawn to a method for the treatment of tumors or cancerous cells by administering products of formula (1)

Art Unit: 1626

or formula (2) wherein X and Y are each N; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a phenyl, classified in class 514, subclass 403+.

Group XI. Claims 27-34, drawn to a method for the treatment of tumors or cancerous cells by administering products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein X and Y are each N; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a 5-membered aromatic heterocycle, classified in class 514, subclass 359+.

Group XII. Claims 27-34, drawn to a method for the treatment of tumors or cancerous cells by administering products of formula (1) or formula (2) wherein X and Y are each N; and the Ar attached to the fused cyclohexenyl ring in the formulas is a 6-membered aromatic heterocycle, classified in class 514, subclass 222.2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: the products of Groups I-VI differ materially in structure and element so much so as to be patentably distinct. In

Art Unit: 1626

addition, a reference which anticipates one group may not even render obvious the other.

Inventions of Groups I-VI and Groups VII-XII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I, for example, is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Therefore, it would impose an undue burden on the Examiner

Art Unit: 1626

and the Patent Office's resources to examine the instant application if unrestricted.

The above groups themselves are inclusive of patentably distinct subject matter. Accordingly, along with the election of one of the above groups, the following action is also taken.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (e.g., Example number, page number and structural depiction) from whichever group is ultimately elected, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 1626

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Upon the election of a single disclosed species (e.g. Example, page number and structural depiction), a scope of the elected invention that has been examined, inclusive of the elected species, will be identified by the Examiner for examination.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application.

Art Unit: 1626

Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in

Art Unit: 1626

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction

Art Unit: 1626

requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1600

November 15, 2004